

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Akiko Miyakawa	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,832		11/29/2001		1642.1001	9732
21171	7590	03/25/2003			
STAAS &			EXAMINER		
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500				SIMONE, CATHERINE A	
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1772	Z
				DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/995,832	MIYAKAWA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Catherine Simone	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
<u> </u>	Fhis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	vance except for formal ma r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application	١.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b)☐ objected to by t	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	isapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. ﴿	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: —		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in A	pplication No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•	
a) The translation of the foreign language pr		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·

Application/Control Number: 09/995,832

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3 and 5-7, drawn to a resin-cemented optical element, classified in class
 428, subclass 157.
- II. Claim 4, drawn to a mold for molding a resin layer of a resin-cemented optical element, classified in class 425, subclass 110+.
- III. Claim 8, drawn to a fabrication process, classified in class 264, subclass 2.7. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the product as claimed in Group I can be made by another and materially different apparatus without the specifics of the Group II apparatus, i.e. the mold has, on the outer periphery on the outside of a molding surface, a concavely curved surface which has a curvature larger than the molding surface.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

Application/Control Number: 09/995,832

Art Unit: 1772

product as claimed in Invention I can be made by another and materially different process without the steps of the Group III process i.e. molding the resin layer with a mold having, on the outer periphery on the outside of a molding surface, a concavely curved surface which has a curvature larger than the molding surface.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by hand.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to David Pitcher on March 17, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine Simone whose telephone number is (703) 605-4297. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (703) 308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Catherine Simone

Examiner Art Unit 1772

March 19, 2003

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 3/20/03